The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								42-57-29 =	084-18-15 = -
Michigan [26]	Shiawassee County [155]		Sciota [719	Sciota [71960]		4 MILES SOUTHEAST OF OVID		42.958056	84.304167
76200063000B010 Highway agency district 6			Owner (	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		nce responsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]	
Route 0 SHAFTSBURG ROAD				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BEAR CRE			EEK		
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] 0 Other		r [00]	Kilometerpoint 28.5 km = 17.7 mi Year built 1957 Year reconstructed N/A [  Skew angle 32 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the						
Total length 11.5 m = 3	7.7 ft Lei	ngth of maximum s	oan 10.3 m =	33.8 ft	Deck width,	out-to-out 8.5 m = 2	7.9 ft Bridge roa	adway width, curb-to-o	7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.5 m = 24.6 ft		Cur	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m =		1 m = 0.3 ft	Curb or sid	lewalk width - right	0.1 m = 0.3 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place		ace [1]							
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/weari	ing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  0.6 km = 0.4 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		<b>,</b>	Load Factor(LF) [1]  Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating				
Bridge posting						Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 264 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 393 Year 2026						
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 300000 Roadway improvement cost 150000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 19.2 m = 63.0 ft Total project cost 450000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Bridge closed to all traffic [K]		Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur		Equal to present desirable crit	teria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair	[5]	roadway alignment  Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck		deck geometry						
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation ha	cour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroder channel. [5]	d. River control devices	and/or embankment have major	damage. Trees and rush restrict the				
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum crite	eria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	30.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure of the control of the c	cture is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guar	rdrail							
Traffic safety features - approach guar	drail ends							
Inspection date October 2009 [100	Designated inspec	ction frequency 6	Months					
Underwater inspection Not n	eeded [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection Not n	eeded [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection Not n	eeded [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					