The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation									43-00-51 =	084-25-32 = -
Michigan [26] Cli		Clinton County [037]		Ovid [61	Ovid [61880]		1/4 MI N OF WALKER RD			43.014167	84.425556
19200039000B020 Highway agency district 6			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance	e responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0 SHEPARDSVILLE RD			)	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MAPLE RIV			/ER				
main		Design - approach  0  O	ther [00]	Kilometerpoint 1480.4 km = 917.8 mi  Year built 1958 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Skew angle 10 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]							
Total length 24.3 m = 79.7 ft Length of maximum span 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.3 m = 30.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.6 m = 24.9 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft											
Deck structure type  Other [9]											
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]											
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Limits											
Bypass, (	detour length	Wicthou to dete	ermine inventory ra		Load Factor(LF) [1]			rentory rating	43.5 metric ton		
3.0 Km =	0.71111	iviethod to determine operating rating					Ор	Operating rating 72.4 metric ton =		= 79.6 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					De	Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1207 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1981 Future average daily traffic 0 Year 2000							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
or replacement. [54]	Length of structure improvement 24.4 m = 80.1 ft Total project cost 178000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Finding stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 67.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date May 2009 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ded [N] Other special inspection date							