The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | 42-47-29 = | 083-50-40 = - | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|--|--|
| Michigan [26] Genesee Coun | ty [049] | Argentine [03420] | E OF SOUTH LEG OF SEYMOUR | 42.791389 | 83.844444 | | |
| 25200005000B010 Highway agency district 4 | | Owner Other Local Agen | cies [25] Maintenance | responsibility County Highway | Agency [02] | | |
| Route 2508 SILVER LAKE ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LOBDELL LAKE DAM | | | | | | | |
| Design - main Concrete [1] Tee beam [04] | Design - approach O Other | [00] | Skew angle 0 Structure FI | constructed N/A [0000] | | | |
| Total length 10.4 m = 34.1 ft Length of maximum span 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 16.1 m = 52.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clea | rance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft | Curb or sidewalk wid | th - left 3 m = 9.8 ft | Curb or sidewalk width - right | 3 m = 9.8 ft | | |
| Deck structure type | Concrete Cast-in-Place | ce [1] | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface | Monolithic Concrete (| concurrently placed with struc | ctural deck) [1] | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | Inventory rating | 30 metric ton = 33.0 tons | | | |
| 2.6 km = 1.6 mi Method to determine operating rating | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | Operating rating | Operating rating 58 metric ton = 63.8 tons | | | |
| Bridge posti | ng Equal to or above le | gal loads [5] | Design Load MS | 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6] | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 8718 Average daily to | ruck traffi 5 % Year 1998 Future average daily traffic 15746 Year 2018 | | | | | |
| Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07] | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 13.1 m = 43.0 ft | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | e exists. [N] | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F | eature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli | mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A | Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by | | | | | |
| | Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost | | | | | |
| | Length of structure improvement Total project cost | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|--|
| Structure status Open, no res | striction [A] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Somewhat better that is [5] | better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Fair [5] | Appraisal ratings - | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Satisfactory [6] | deck geometry | | | | |
| Scour | Scour calculation/evaluation h | nas not been made. [6] | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7] | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | Better than present minimum | criteria [7] | Status ev | /aluation | Functionally obsolete [2] | |
| Pier or abutment protection | or abutment protection | | Sufficiend | cy rating | 50.8 | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitions | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail ends | | | | | |
| Inspection date December 2 | 008 [1208] Designated inspe | ection frequency 24 | Months | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date | | | | | |
| • | Not needed [N] | Fracture critical in | | | | |
| Other special inspection Other special inspection date | | | | | | |