## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-03-20 =	085-27-42 = -
Michigan [26] Kent County [081]		Cannon [13080] EASTERLY END		OF DR.		43.055556	85.461667	
41307H26011B020 Highway agency district 3		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0	TOWN	ISEND PARK DR.	Toll On free	e road [3] F	eatures intersect	ted BEAR CREE	K	
Design - Concrete [ main 1 Slab [01]	1]	Design - approach 0 Other [(	00]	Kilometerpoint62Year built1927Skew angle15Historical significance	Structure Fl	onstructed N/A [0 ared ared and the second s	-	
Total length     7 m = 23.0 ft     Length of maximum span     6.1 m = 20.0 ft     Deck width, out-to-out     7 m = 23.0 ft     Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb     6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type	C	oncrete Cast-in-Place	e [1]					
Type of wearing surface   Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		entory rating 19 metric ton = 20.9 tons				
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Op	perating rating	31.6 metric ton =	34.8 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]		De	esign Load MS	18+Mod / HS 20+I	Mod [6]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic     150     Average daily truck traffi     0     %     Year     2006     Future average daily traffic     260     Year     2026								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance     0 = N/A       Navigation horizontal clearance     0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	e feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
Type of work to be performed								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement	otal project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Very Good [8]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determin	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present desirable	criteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 65.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fe	eature meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date December 2009 [1209] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					