The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation									44-45-53 =	085-37-25 = -
Michigan [26]		Grand Traverse County [055]		Traverse	Traverse City [80340] IN TRAVERSE CIT					44.764722	85.623611
284676400067B05		Highway age	Highway agency district 2		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 2020 NORTH UNION			RTH UNION STRE	REET Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BOARDMAI				N RIVER			
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] 0 Oth		Kilometerpoint 209.2 km = 129.7 mi Year built 1955 Year reconstructed N/A [0] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for th									
Total length 30.4 m = 99.7 ft Length of maximum span 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 18.5 m = 60.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 14 m = 45.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 14 m = 45.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or simil			r similar additiv	e [3]							
Deck protec	ection										
Type of me	embrane/we	aring surface									
Weight Lin	nits										
Bypass, detour length Method to determine in			mine inventory rat	ory rating Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Inve	entory rating	42.7 metric ton =	= 47.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating ration			ting Allo	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Оре	erating rating	ing 42.7 metric ton = 47.0 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal le			ve legal loads [ads [5]		Des	Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]		+Mod [6]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 5520 Average daily tr	uck traffi 14 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 6000 Year 2023							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 14 m = 45.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danis and Danis and Disco								
Repair and Replacement Plans	West, done by							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour Channel and channel protection	, and the second	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5] Pank protection is in peed of miner repairs. Diver central devices and embankment protection have a little miner demand.							
Channel and channel protection	Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	77					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date March 2009		ction frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
·	Not needed [N]								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						