## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						46-11-42 =	086-58-20 = -
Michigan [26] Alger County [003]	N	Mathias [52360]	W LTS OF TRENARY			46.195000	86.972222
Highway age	ncy district 1	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 67 M-6	1	Toll On free	e road [3] Fe	atures intersec	ted SCOTTS CF	REEK	
Design - main  Concrete [1]  Arch - Deck [11]	Design - approach  Other [00]	0]	<ul><li>Kilometerpoint 147.</li><li>Year built 1914</li><li>Skew angle 0</li><li>Historical significance</li></ul>	Structure FI	onstructed 1953		
Total length 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Length of maximum span 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.2 m = 43.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.6 m = 38.1 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	e 12.5 m = 41.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0.4 \text{ m} = 1.3$	ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place	[1]					
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	40.5 metric ton =	= 44.6 tons	
3.1 km = 1.9 mi  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 66.9 metric ton = 73.6 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	ign Load M 1	8 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 1352 Average daily to	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 1509 Year 2018					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by					
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost					
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost					
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection  Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to presen	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection	er or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating 85.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected features		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected feature		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date May 2011 [0	511] Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in	spection date				
Other special inspection   Not needed [N]   Other special inspection date							