## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						42-03-55.57 =	085-07-41.51
Michigan [26] Branch County [023]		Union [81280] UNION TWP SEC 4			42.065436	= -85.128197	
1133	Highway agen	cy district: 5	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibilit	y County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 1257	UNIO	N CITY ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersected COLDV	VATER RIVER	
Design - Steel continumain  Stringer/Mul	uous [4] Iti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach  Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 2030 Year built 1955 Skew angle 10	0.6 km = 1259.0 mi  Year reconstructed  Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible		
Total length 33.5 m =	= 109.9 ft Lei	ngth of maximum spa	an 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	9.1 m = 29.9 ft Bridge	roadway width, curb-to-c	7.3  m = 24.0  ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	7.3  m = 24.0  ft	Curb or sidewalk w	0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or	sidewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		ton = 40.3 tons		
1.1 km = 0.7 mi  Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1] Ope		ton = 83.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load M 18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 2155 Average daily to	ruck traffi 4 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic	3202 Year 2024								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclea	arance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 50000 Roadway imp	rovement cost								
dotenoration of madequate strongth. [55]	Length of structure improvement 33.5 m = 109.9 ft To	otal project cost 60000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Bord	der bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crite	eria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	determined to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [	5]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present d	esirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	78.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	In	pected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	In	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail In	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date October 2018	8 [1018] Design	nated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					