HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infe	ormation									41-57-18.19 =	085-38-46.13
Michigan	[26]	St. Joseph Cour	nty [149]	Fabius [2	26920]	0.3 MI W OF TH	HREE RIV	VERS		41.955053	= -85.646147
10265		Highway a	gency district: 5	Owner	State Highway A	Agency [01]		Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 1	31	U	JS-131 SB		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Fea	tures intersed	cted ROCKY RI	VER	
Design - main		d concrete [5] or girders - Multipl	Design - approach	Other [00]		Kilometerpoint Year built Skew angle 0		Year red Structure F	constructed		
Total leng		= 89.9 ft I Horizontal Cleara	Length of maximu		= 44.9 ft urb or sidewalk w	Historical signifi Deck width, or				the NRHP. [5] Idway width, curb-to-c ewalk width - right	urb 14.2 m = 46.6 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck stru	cture type		Concrete Cast-	n-Place [1]		on on	0.011			ewaik watir Tigiti	0.011
Deck prot			Latex Concrete	or similar additiv	/e [3]						
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing surface									
Weight L	imits										
Bypass, 0.1 km =	detour length 0.1 mi	Wiction to de	etermine inventory etermine operating		ad and Resistance ad and Resistance		=	tory rating ating rating	25.3 metric ton 39.9 metric ton		
		Bridge postin	ng Equal to or ab	ove legal loads	[5]		Desig	ın Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 7850 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 9916	S Year 2018
Road classification) [02] Lanes on structure 3	approach roadway width 11.1 m = 36.4 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation The left structure of	of parallel bridges. This structure carries traffic in the opposite direction. [L]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge Minimum vertical clearance or	ver bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli	mited Minimum lateral underclearance	e on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by	
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvem	nent cost
	Length of structure improvement Total pro	oject cost
	Year of improvement cost estimate	
	Border bridge - state Border bri	idge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Suf	fficiency									
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings -	Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory [6]								
Condition ratings -	Condition ratings - deck Satis									
Scour		Bridge is s	cour critical; bridge fo	undations determined	to be unstab	le. [3]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank prote channel. [5		. River control devices	s and/or emba	ankment have major (damage. Trees and rus	sh restrict the		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to p	resent desirable criter	ia [8]		Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment pr	rotection					Sufficiency rating	81.4			
Culverts Not app	licable. Used	if structure is not a	culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety featu	ıres - railings		Inpected feature	e meets currently acce	eptable stand	ards. [1]				
Traffic safety featu	Traffic safety features - transitions		Inpected feature	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		·	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety featu	ıres - approacl	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature	e meets currently acce	eptable stand	ards. [1]				
Inspection date July 2017 [0717]		Designated inspecti	on frequency 24	N	Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed		Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date					
· ·		Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special insp	Other special inspection Not no			Other special insp	ection date			_		

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation							41-57-17.99 =	085-38-45.59
Michigan [[26]	St. Joseph County	[149]	Fabius [26920]	0.3 MI W OF THREE	RIVERS		41.954997	= -85.645997
10264		Highway age	ncy district: 5	Owner State Hig	hway Agency [01]	Maintenance	eresponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 13	31	US-	131 NB	Toll	On free road [3]	Features interse	cted ROCKY RI	VER	
main	Steel [3] Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [0	Design - approach 2] 0 Oth	er [00]	Kilometerpoint 12 Year built 1951 Skew angle 0	263.5 km = 783.4 Year re Structure F	constructed		
	th 27.4 m =	= 89.9 ft L		pan 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Curb or side	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-out walk width - left 0 m = 0.0	out 14.2 m = 46.		the NRHP. [5] dway width, curb-to-co ewalk width - right	13 m = 42.7 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck struct	٠.		Concrete Cast-in-P						
Deck prote	earing surfacection	ce	Latex Concrete or s	allillal additive [3]					
Type of me	embrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Lir	mits								
Bypass, do 0.1 km = 0	letour length 0.1 mi	Wicthou to deter	mine inventory ratir		. 0 1	ventory rating perating rating	43.4 metric ton 72.6 metric ton		
		Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	De	esign Load MS	5 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details				
Average Daily Traffic 7850 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2013 Fut	ture average daily traffic	9916 Year 2018	8
Road classification) [02] Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway widt	th 11.1 m = 36.4 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way tra	affic [1]	Bridge median	Closed median (no barriers) [2]
Parallel structure designation The right structure	of parallel bridges carrying the roadway in the	e direction of the inventory	. [R]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control		
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizonta	al clearance 0 = N/A		
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	dge	Minimum vertical cleara	nce over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli	mited	Minimum lateral underclea	rance on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	erclearance reference feat	ure Feature not a highway	or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]				
Repair and Replacement Plans				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by			
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway imp	rovement cost	
	Length of structure improvement	To	otal project cost	
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state	Bor	der bridge - percent respons	sibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to pre	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Superior to					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; brid	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being er channel. [5]	oded. River control devices	s and/or emban	nkment have major d	amage. Trees and r	ush restrict the		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present desirable	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	98.6			
	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	<u> </u>	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	<u>'</u>	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date July 2017 [07		spection frequency 24		onths		_		
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					