The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-45-06 =	086-06-58 = -
Michigan [26]	Allegan County [005	5]	Holland [38640]	IN HOLLAND			42.751667	86.116111
035321200225B01 Highway agency district 5		Owner City or Municipa	wner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]			
Route 2014 WASHINGTON AVE			Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected NORTH BR			ANCH BLACK RIVE	R
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Tee beam [6]		Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 82 Year built 1928 Skew angle 30 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed N/A [,	
Total length 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Length of maximum span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 17.7 m = 58.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 17.6 m = 57.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Pla		ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory r			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating	24.3 metric ton =	26.7 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating ratio		mine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		erating rating 40.5 metric ton = 44.6 tons			
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]			ow [4]	De	sign Load M	18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3215 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 1997 Future average daily traffic 5800 Year 2017							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Id	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour c	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 90.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition		Inpected feature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date May 2009 [0	Des Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date					
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					