The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26]	Ingham County [065]		Leroy [47080]	2.MILES NW OF WEBBERVILLE		0.000000	0.000000	
33200104000B010 Highway agency district 6		Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance	eresponsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 0	WEBB	ERVILLE ROAD	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RED CEDA			R RIVER	
Design - Steel continumain  Stringer/Multi	i-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1955 Skew angle 23 Historical significance	Structure F		[0000] ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 36.5 m = 119.8 ft Length of maximum span 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9 m = 29.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.4 m = 1.3 ft Curb		Curb or side	walk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  0.5 km = 0.3 mi  Method to determine inventory ra  Method to determine operating ra		ne inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating	17.3 metric ton =	= 19.0 tons	
		ne operating rating	ng Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		perating rating	59.1 metric ton =	= 65.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load M	18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1220 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 630 Year 2015							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
Unknown [00]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 0							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state  0							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. F minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 58.4						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N24]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection Unknown [N24]		Fracture critical in:	nspection date						
Other special inspection Unknown [N24] Other special inspection date									