

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Minnesota [27] Hennepin County [053] Minneapolis [43000] 0.1 MI E OF JCT M'HAHA A 44-54-42 = 44.911667 093-12-18 = - 93.205000

5756 Highway agency district 5 Owner Other State Agencies [21] Maintenance responsibility Other State Agencies [21]

Route 0 SOLDIER'S HOME RD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MINNEHAHA CREEK

Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Steel [3] Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi
 1 Arch - Deck [11] 8 Other [00] Year built 1908 Year reconstructed #Num!
 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared
 Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]

Total length 191 m = 626.7 ft Length of maximum span 87.8 m = 288.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.5 m = 31.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.2 m = 3.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.2 m = 3.9 ft

Deck structure type Closed Grating [4]

Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]

Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]

Type of membrane/wearing surface

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 11.1 metric ton = 12.2 tons

Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Operating rating 19.8 metric ton = 21.8 tons

Bridge posting Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	200	Average daily truck traffi	%	Year	1985	Future average daily traffic	200	Year	2029
Road classification	Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	9.1 m = 29.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge		Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	30.48 m = 100.0 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A			Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]								

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	2932000	Roadway improvement cost	129000			
	Length of structure improvement	191 m = 626.7 ft		Total project cost	1942000		
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2011					
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations (including piles) on dry land well above flood water elevations. [9]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not required [1]	Sufficiency rating	22.8
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Inspection date	May 2010 [0510]	Designated inspection frequency	12 Months
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	May 2010 [0510]
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Other special inspection date	May 2008 [0508]