HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						39-27-21.17 =	091-02-57.32
Missouri [29]	Pike County [163]		Buffalo [09604]	S 18 T 54 N R 1 W		39.455881	= -91.049256
5996	Highway agend	cy district: 2	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance resp	oonsibility State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 54	US 54	E	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersected	MISSISSIPPI RVR, KCS RR	
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru	[10] د	Design - approach Steel Girder	and floorbeam system [03]	Year built 1928 Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	tructed N/A [0000]	
Total length 694.6 m Inventory Route, Total			an 127.6 m = 418.7 ft Curb or sidewalk w	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-color idth - left 0 m = 0.0	out 6.4 m = 21.0 ft	gible for the NRHP. [2] Bridge roadway width, curb-to-o Curb or sidewalk width - right	O m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface		losed Grating [4]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface B	uilt-up [1]					
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length 9.8 km = 6.1 mi	Wethou to determ	ine inventory rating ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		, ,	7 metric ton = 22.8 tons 1 metric ton = 38.6 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	De	esign Load M 13.5 /	/ H 15 [2]	

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 4004 Average daily tru	uck traffi 16 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 7607 Year 2035
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 16.7 m = 54.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 124.6 m = 408.8 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.78 m = 15.7 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Ra	ailroad beneath structure [R]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.8 m = 12.5	5 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 7.01 m = 23.0 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat bette	er than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of	
substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 29255000 Roadway improvement cost 2925000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 69.5 m = 228.0 ft Total project cost 43883000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2015
	Border bridge - state Unknown [175] Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 50
	Border bridge - structure number 75990016955

Inspection and Suff	ficiency								
Structure status	Posted for lo	ad [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - s	superstructure	Poor [4]				Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - s	dition ratings - substructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - c	deck	Fair [5]	(deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge found	dations determined to	be stable for assesse	ed or calcula	ed scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel	el protection	Bank protect channel. [5]	tion is being eroded.	River control devices	and/or emb	ankment have major da	amage. Trees and rus	h restrict the	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy Equal to p		Equal to pre	esent desirable criteria	nt desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection In place and in		functioning [2]	nctioning [2]		Sufficiency rating	23			
Culverts Not appli	icable. Used	if structure is not a	culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety featur	res - railings		Inpected feature	meets currently acce	ptable stand	ards. [1]			
Traffic safety featur	res - transitior	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety featur	res - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature	meets currently acce	ptable stand	ards. [1]			
Inspection date August 2014 [0814] Desig		Designated inspection	signated inspection frequency 12 N		Months				
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]			Underwater inspection date		June 2012 [0612]				
Fracture critical inspection Every year [Y12]			Fracture critical inspection		e August 2014 [0814]				
Other special inspection Every		Every year [Y12]		Other special inspe	ection date	August 2014 [08	August 2014 [0814]		

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17]	Pike County [149]	l	Jnknown [00000]	LOUISIANA, MISSO	DURI		39-27-32.31 = 3	3 091-02-34.97 = -9
75990000000000	Highway agency	district: 6	Owner State Highway A	agency [01]	Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 54	US 54		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersec	ted MISS. R./BI	NSF RR(MO)	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach Steel [3]		'	40.2 km = 24.9 mi			
5 Truss - Thr			nd floorbeam system [03]	Year built 1926 Skew angle 0	Year red Structure F	lared 1983	3	
				Historical significand		s eligible for the N	IRHP. [2]	
Total length 697.1 m	n = 2287.2 ft Leng	th of maximum span	127.1 m = 417.0 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out 6.4 m = 21.0	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-c	urb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0 m = 0$.	0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Clo	osed Grating [4]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce Bitu	uminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengtl	h Method to determin	ne inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati	nventory rating	20.4 metric ton	= 22.4 tons	
9.8 km = 6.1 mi	Method to determin	ne operating rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati	Operating rating	35 metric ton =	38.5 tons	
	Bridge posting E	Equal to or above lega	al loads [5]	[Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 3400 Average daily tr	uck traffi 16 % Year 2013 Fut	ture average daily traffic	3330 Year 203	2			
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway wid	7.3 m = 24.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	affic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control	Navigation control on water	way (bridge permit required). [1]			
Navigation vertical clearanc 17.4 m = 57.1 ft	Navigation horizonta	al clearance 123.4 m = 4	04.9 ft				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical cleara	ance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.8 m = 12.	5 ft	Minimum lateral undercle	arance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 7.11 m = 23.3 ft	Minimum vertical und	lerclearance reference fea	ture Railroad beneath struc	cture [R]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]						
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contr	act [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 6370000	Roadway imp	provement cost 637000)			
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 6	97.1 m = 2287.2 ft T	otal project cost 955500	00			
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Unknown [297]	Boi	rder bridge - percent respons	sibility of other state 50			
	Border bridge - structure number #Nu	um!					

Inspection and Suffic	ciency								
Structure status C	Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - su	perstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - sul	ondition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - de	eck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour Bridge is scour of		ur critical; bridge foundations determined	ical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection Bank protection channel		Bank protection channel. [5]	on is being eroded. River control device	s and/or emba	nkment have major d	amage. Trees and rush r	estrict the		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy Ec		Equal to pres	sent desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection		In place and	functioning [2]		Sufficiency rating	23.5			
Culverts Not applica	able. Used i	if structure is not a co	ulvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings			Inpected feature meets currently acco	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features	es - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends		Inpected feature meets currently acco	rds. [1]						
Inspection date July 2011 [0711] Des			Designated inspection frequency 12	2 M	onths				
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspe	ection date	August 2008 [0808]					
Fracture critical inspection Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical in	nspection date	te June 1995 [0695]					
Other special inspec	Other special inspection Not ne		Other special insp	pection date					