HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-06-54.00 =	094-32-42.00
Missouri [29] Jackson County [095]		Kansas City [38000]	S 34 T 50 N R 33 W			39.115000	= -94.545000	
25390	Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance i	responsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route 222	CLI	FF DR	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ted CHESTNU	ΓTFWY	
Design - Concrete [1] main Arch - Deck		Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 16. Year built 1920 Skew angle 0	1 km = 10.0 mi Year reco	onstructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is	eligible for the I	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 23.5 m =	77.1 ft L	ength of maximum sp	an 23.5 m = 77.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-or	ut 18.9 m = 62.0	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 13.1 m = 43.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total I	Horizontal Clearan	ce 13.1 m = 43.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface	е	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection Not applicable (appli		es only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applies			es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to dete	rmine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	i) [2] Inv	entory rating	22.5 metric ton	= 24.8 tons	
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS	(i) [2] Op	erating rating	38.7 metric ton	= 42.6 tons		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above lo	egal loads [5]	De	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 90 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2014 Future average dail	y traffic 117 Year 2034							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without ped Lanes under structure 3 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.7 m = 8.9	ft Minimum lateral	underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4 m = 13.1 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure									
deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 158000 Roa	ndway improvement cost 15000							
	Length of structure improvement 3.2 m = 10.5 ft	Total project cost 237000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2015								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge not over water	erway. [N]					
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 74.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert.	[N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	S No	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach		Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends No	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Inspection date April 2014 [0	Designation	ated inspection frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspection date					
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date				