## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										37-02-13.51 =	093-10-16.44
Missouri [29] Christian County [043]			13]	Linden [4	2968]	S 18 T 27 N R 20 W				37.037086	= -93.171233
20153 Highway age		Highway agen	cy district: 6	Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Ma	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]			gency [02]
Route 249 SMYF			YRNA RD Toll On free			Features intersected FINLEY RVR					
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]			approach	approach		Kilometerpoint 62.9 km = 39.0 mi  Year built 1912 Year reconstructed 2004  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared					
Total length 85.6 Inventory Route, To	m = 280 otal Hori			ım span 36.3 m	= 119.1 ft urb or sidewalk wi	Historical signification  Deck width, out  idth - left  0 m =	-to-out 3.		Bridge roa	he NRHP. [5] dway width, curb-to-c ewalk width - right	urb 3.6 m = 11.8 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surface  Closed Grating [4]  Bituminous [6]									5		
Deck protection  Type of membrane	/wearing	n surface									
Type of membrane	would be	3 3411400									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour length  1.3 km = 0.8 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating						Inventor Operatir		metric ton = metric ton =			
	E	Bridge posting					Design I	Load			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 300 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2015 Future average daily traffic 600 Year 2035									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  4.52 m = 14.8 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 860000 Roadway improvement cost 86000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 9.5 m = 31.2 ft Total project cost 1291000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2015									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency	/									
Structure status Postec	d for load [P]			ppraisal ratings - tructural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstr	ondition ratings - superstructure Serious [3]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substruc	cture Fair [5	5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
ondition ratings - deck Good		[7]	d	leck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundatio	ns determined to	be stable for the asse	essed or cald	culated scour conditio	n. [8]			
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Better than pres	er than present minimum criteria [7]			Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	16.9			
Culverts Not applicable.	Used if structi	ure is not a culve	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - rail	Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions			Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			Not applicable or							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Inspection date January 2015 [0115]		Des	ignated inspection	n frequency 24	N	Months				
Underwater inspection	eded [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date						
		two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	spection date	January 2015 [	0115]			
Other special inspection Not no		eded [N]		Other special inspe	ection date					