The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation										38-56-46 =	092-56-53 = -
Missouri [29] Cooper County [053]			Lami	Lamine [40502] S 12 T 48 N R			. 19 W			92.948056		
12954		Н	Highway agency district 5			Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance	e responsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]
Route 25			MHOFF RD		Toll On free road [3]			Features intersected LAMINE RVR				
main	main			Design - approach	Steel [3] Girder and floorbeam system [03]		Skew angle 0 Structure Flared					
Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2] Total length 118.9 m = 390.1 ft Length of maximum span 48.8 m = 160.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left O m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right												
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]										3		
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timb			Wood or Timbe	er [7]								
Deck protection												
Type of membrane/wearing surface												
Weight Li	mits											
1.9 km = 1.2 mi			Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting			No rating analysis perfor		Opera	ating rating	2.7 metric ton = 5.4 metric ton =		
		DIIO	age postin	9				Desig	gn Load			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 25 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 33 Year 2030									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.47 m = 14.7 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1182000 Roadway improvement cost 118000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 12.7 m = 41.7 ft Total project cost 1773000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intole						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to prese	al to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to prese	nt minimum crite					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations de	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in r Banks and/or channe	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better that in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left n place as is [5] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Suff	ficiency rating	21.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Not a	applicable or a safety feature is no							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Not a	applicable or a safety feature is no							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]									
Inspection date April 2010 [0410] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]								
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	April 2010 [0410]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						