HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation														38-39-53.37	' - no	0-11-10.27
Missouri [29]		St. Lo	St. Louis city [510]			St	St. Louis [65000] S								38.664825		90.186186
32776			Highway	agency	y district: 5	C	Owner	State Highway <i>I</i>	Agency [01]		Ma	aintenance	respons	sibility	State Highway	Agency [(01]
Route 0				SALISI	BURY ST			Toll On fre	e road [3]		Feature	es intersed	ted MIS	SSISSIPI	PI RVR		
Design - main	Steel contin	iuous [[4]		Design - approach	Steel con	tinuous	[4]	Kilometerp Year built	oint 0	km = 0	0.0 mi Year red	construct	ted 2005	5		
3	Truss - Thru	ı [10]			31	Stringer/N	inger/Multi-beam or girder [02]		Skew angl	e 0	Structure Flared Yes, flared [1]						
									Historical	significand	ce	Historica	al signific	cance is r	not determinable a	at this tim	e. [4]
Total leng	th 1747.5 n	n = 57	'33.5 ft	Lenç	gth of maxim	um span	157.7 m	= 517.4 ft	Deck wic	lth, out-to-	out 14.	.4 m = 47.2	2 ft Br	idge road	dway width, curb-t	o-curb 7	.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory	Route, Total	Horizo	ontal Clea	rance	7.3 m = 24.	.0 ft	Cur	b or sidewalk w	idth - left	0 m = 0.0	0 ft		Cui	rb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m	= 0.0 ft
Deck struc	cture type			Сс	ncrete Cast-	in-Place [1]										
Type of w	earing surfac	ce															
Deck prot	ection			Ер	oxy Coated	Reinforcin	ıg [1]										
Type of m	nembrane/we	aring s	surface														
Weight Li	imits																
31	Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory			ne inventory	rating	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]			lr	nventory	y rating	24.3 me	etric ton :	= 26.7 tons			
3.2 km =	2.0 mi	M	ethod to d	letermi	ne operating	rating	Load	factor(LF) [1]		C)peratin	ng rating	40.5 me	etric ton :	= 44.6 tons		
		Br	ridge posti	ing E	Equal to or al	bove legal	l loads [5	5]			esign L	_oad MS	18 / HS	20 [5]			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 10000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2013 Fut	ure average daily traffic	13900 Year 2033				
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway width	10.4 m = 34.1 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	affic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]		,				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 14.6 m = 47.9 ft	Navigation horizonta	al clearance 152.3 m = 4	499.7 ft				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	lge	Minimum vertical clea	rance over bridge roadway 5.	82 m = 19.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A		Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	erclearance reference fe	ature Feature not a highway or	railroad [N]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Denois and Denless weet Diago							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contra	act [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 67244000	Roadway im	provement cost 6724000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 1	74.7 m = 573.2 ft	Total project cost 10086700	00			
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2015					
	Border bridge - state Unknown [175]	Во	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 99				
	Border bridge - structure number 606	00214602					

Inspection and Suf	ficiency								
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat is [5]	te being left in place as			
Condition ratings - s	Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - Basical oadway alignment		asically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]			
Condition ratings - :	substructure	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically i	ement [2]			
Condition ratings - (Condition ratings - deck Satis			deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge four	ndations determined to	o be stable for assesse	ed or calculate	d scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel protection				nor repairs. River control Riv	rol devices ar	d embankment protection have a little m	inor damage.		
Appraisal ratings - v	Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		present desirable cri	teria [9]		Status evaluation Functionally obsol	ete [2]		
Pier or abutment pr	rotection	None pres	ne present but re-evaluation suggested [5]			Sufficiency rating 43.5			
Culverts Not appl	licable. Used	if structure is not a	culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety featu	res - railings		Inpected feature	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety featu	res - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety featu	res - approacl	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			Inpected feature	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date	September 2	2013 [0913]	Designated inspecti	on frequency 24	M	onths			
Underwater inspe	ction	Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspection date		September 2013 [0913]			
Fracture critical in	spection	Every two years [No years [Y24] Fra		spection date	September 2013 [0913]			
Other special insp	ection	Not needed [N]		Other special insp	ection date				

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
Illinois [17]	Madison County [119]	Unknown [05890] VENICE		38-39-54.39 = 3 090-10-57.25 = -9				
60600200000000	Highway agenc	y district: 8	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]				
Route 0	SALIS	BURY ST/FAU91	Toll On fre	e road [3]	eatures intersected MISS RIVER				
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Steel approach	[3]	Kilometerpoint 1.6 k	km = 1.0 mi				
	[10]		aar/Miulti baam ar airdar [02]	Year built 1910	Year reconstructed 2005				
3 Truss - Thr	u [10]	31 String	ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared Yes, flared [1]				
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]				
Total length 1747.4	m = 5733.2 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	oan 157.7 m = 517.4 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	at 14.4 m = 47.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft				
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	t Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft				
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ice [1]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce								
Deck protection	Eţ	ooxy Coated Reinfo	orcing [1]						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour lengt	Method to determ	ine inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati Inve	entory rating 44.4 metric ton = 48.8 tons				
3.2 km = 2.0 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati Oper	erating rating 73.9 metric ton = 81.3 tons				
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Desi	sign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 17600 Average daily to	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2013 Fu	uture average daily traffic	14098 Year 2	2032					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway	width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tr	raffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control	Navigation control on wa	aterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearanc 14.6 m = 47.9 ft	Navigation horizon	tal clearance 152.4 m = 5	00.0 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clear	ance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A		Minimum lateral undercle	arance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	derclearance reference fea	ature Feature not a high	way or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by conti	ract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 7440000	Roadway im	provement cost 744	000					
deterioration of madequate strength, [55]	Length of structure improvement	1924.8 m = 6315.3 ft	otal project cost 818	4000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Unknown [297]	Во	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number 0								

Inspection and Suff	ficiency								
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat is [5]	as			
Condition ratings - s	Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically i	intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]			
Condition ratings - s	substructure	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically i	nent [2]			
Condition ratings - c	Condition ratings - deck Satis			deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge fo	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection				ninor repairs. River conti inor amounts of drift. [7]	rol devices ar	d embankment protection	have a little mino	r damage.	
Appraisal ratings - w	Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		to present desirable	criteria [9]		Status evaluation Fun	ctionally obsolete	[2]	
Pier or abutment pro	otection	Navigatio	avigation protection not required [1]			Sufficiency rating 46			
Culverts Not appli	icable. Used	if structure is not	a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety featur	res - railings		Inpected feat	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transitions			Inpected feat	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety featur	res - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			Inpected feat	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date	013 [0913]	Designated inspe	ction frequency 24	M	onths				
Underwater inspec	ction	Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspection date		September 2013 [09			
Fracture critical in:	•	Every two years	[Y24]	Fracture critical ins			13]		
Other special insp	ection	Not needed [N]		Other special insp	ection date				