HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						
Missouri [29] Ste. Genevieve County [186]		St. Mary [65034] S 1862 T 37 N R 10 E			37-52-25.78 = 3 089-56-27.15 = -8	
23059 Highway agency distric		y district: 7	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]
Route 0 2ND ST. ST MARYS		Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected LAUREN CR		
Design - Steel [3]		Design - approach		'	2 km = 20.0 mi	
1 Truss - Thr	ı [10]		r [00]	Year built 1920	Year reconstructed N/A [[0000]
Truss - IIII	a [10]	Ottric	1 [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for the	ne NRHP. [5]
Total length 16.8 m	= 55.1 ft Lenç	gth of maximum sp	oan 15.8 m = 51.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge road	way width, curb-to-curb 4.2 m = 13.8 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	4.2 m = 13.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Wo	ood or Timber [8]				
Type of wearing surface	ce We	ood or Timber [7]				
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratin		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		ntory rating 3.6 metric ton =	4.0 tons	
19.9 km = 12.3 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	Ope	erating rating 6.3 metric ton =	6.9 tons
	Bridge posting			Des	ign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]	

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 10 Average daily tr	uck traffi 20 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 14 Year 2038										
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control										
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A											
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Description I Description I Discription											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 175000 Roadway improvement cost 17000										
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Total project cost 262000										
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018										
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determ	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than mir in place as is [5]	nimum adequacy to tolerate b	g left Status evaluation Structurally defi	cient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 23.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used in Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applie	cable or a safety feature is no	auirod [N]					
,			le or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
7 11 0		cable or a safety feature is no						
Inspection date February 201	<u> </u>	spection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	n date					
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	l inspection date February 2018 [0218]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	on date					