

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

New Hampshire [33]	Carroll County [003]	Effingham [23620]	FREEDOM TL	43-47-31 = 43.791944	070-59-32 = -70.992222
007401760018500	Highway agency district 3	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0	HUNTRESS BRIDGE RD	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected OSSIPEE RIVER		
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach	Kilometerpoint 189.9 km = 117.7 mi	Year built 1936	Year reconstructed 1994	
1	Truss - Thru [10]	0	Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared
		Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]			
Total length 42.7 m = 140.1 ft	Length of maximum span 41.5 m = 136.2 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 5.8 m = 19.0 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.5 m = 18.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type	Wood or Timber [8]				
Type of wearing surface	Wood or Timber [7]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating 38.1 metric ton = 41.9 tons
	Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating 48.1 metric ton = 52.9 tons
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]	

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	260	Average daily truck traffi	4	%	Year	1994	Future average daily traffic	385	Year	2032
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	5.5 m = 18.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	3.85 m = 12.6 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	2000000	Roadway improvement cost	200000						
	Length of structure improvement	42.7 m = 140.1 ft		Total project cost	2500000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2011								
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	73.8
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Inspection date	October 2010 [1010]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	October 2010 [1010]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	