The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 43-10-26 = 071-49-01 = -								
New Hampshire [33] Merrimack County [013]		Henniker [35540] .09 MI FR NH RTE 1		14		43.173889	71.816944	
012001230010600 Highway agency district 5		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		e responsibility	City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]	
Route 0	RAN	ISDELL ROAD	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CONTOOC			OK RIVER	
Design - steel [3] main  1 Truss - Thru [1	0]	Design - approach  0 Other	r [00]	Year built 1937  Skew angle 0	Structure F			
Historical significance  Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]  Total length 33.8 m = 110.9 ft  Length of maximum span 32.9 m = 107.9 ft  Deck width, out-to-out 6.1 m = 20.0 ft  Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Ho	rizontal Clearand	5.5  m = 18.0  ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 1.2 m = 3	.9 ft	Curb or sidev	valk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type Closed Grating [4]								
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		ventory rating	34.6 metric ton =	38.1 tons		
0.2 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	0	perating rating	54.2 metric ton =	59.6 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				D	esign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 480 Average daily tru	ck traffi 4 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 710 Year 2032								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.18 m = 13.7 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Denair and Denlacement Diana									
Repair and Replacement Plans  Type of work to be performed  Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2000000 Roadway improvement cost 200000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 33.8 m = 110.9 ft Total project cost 2500000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]  Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations of	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better th in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection			Su	Sufficiency rating 70.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S Inp	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Inp	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date February 2010 [0210] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date	October 2008 [1008]					
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special insp	ection date	February 2010 [0210]					