## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-16-15 =	071-33-53 = -
New Hampshire [33] Merrimack County [013]			Concord [14200]	0.3 MI JCT RTE	132		43.270833	71.564722
005200700011700 Highway agency district: 5			Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal F	lighway Agency [04]
Route 0	Route 0 SEWALLS FALLS ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MERRIMACK RIVER							
Design - Steel [3] main  2 Truss - Thru [10]		Design - approach Stee	l [3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1915		ni constructed 1936	5	
Total langth 201 2 mg/	(/0.1 ft   Long	with of months up o	500 m 1/70f	Skew angle 0 Historical signific	cance Bridge i	s eligible for the N		T m 1/ 4 ft
Total length 201.2 m = 660.1 ft Length of maximum span 50.9 m = 167.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.3 m = 17.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5 m = 16.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5 m = 16.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.1 m = 0.3 ft								0.1  m = 0.3  ft
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surface		pen Grating [3]					J	
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing	ng surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		10 metric ton =	11.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi  Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		15.4 metric ton = 16.9 tons		
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below [1]				Design Load M S	/ H 10 [1]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3900 Average daily tru	ck traffi 3 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic	5772 Year 2032						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  3.45 m = 11.3 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2000000 Roadway imp	provement cost 200000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 201.2 m = 660.1 ft	otal project cost 2500000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Bor	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment			to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]							
Scour	Countermeasures have been	installed to mitigate an ex	xisting problem v	with scour. [7]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			S	ufficiency rating	0			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date October 2010 [1010] Designated inspection frequency 16 Months								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	September 200	6 [0906]			
'	Unknown [Y16]	Fracture critical ins	•	October 2010 [1				
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	wo years [Y24] Other special inspection date			date May 2008 [0508]			