## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information  |                        |                                   |                      |  |                                    |                    | 40-55-23.17 =                       | 074-10-11.33        |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| New Jersey [34] Passaic County [031]   |                        | Paterson [57000] 0.15 MI. N OF BR |                      | ROADWAY  |                                    | 40.923103          | = -74.169814                        |                     |
| 1600015 Highway agency district: 1   |                        | Owner County Highway Agency [02]  |                      | Maintenance                                      | responsibility                     | County Highway Ag  | gency [02]                          |                     |
| Route 0 ARCH STREET  |                        |                                   | Toll On fre          | ee road [3]                                      | Features intersect                 | ed PASSAIC R       | RIVER                               |                     |
| Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]  |                        | Design - approach  O Other        | - [00]               | Kilometerpoint 0   Year built 1905  Skew angle 3 | km = 0.0 mi Year rec Structure Fla | onstructed 199     | 7                                   |                     |
| Total length 56.1 m  | = 184.1 ft Leng        | th of maximum sn                  | an 54.3 m = 178.2 ft | Historical significance                          | Bridge is                          | eligible for the f | NRHP. [2]<br>dway width, curb-to-cu | urh 8.4 m - 27.6 ft |
| •  | I Horizontal Clearance |                                   | Curb or sidewalk w   |  |                                    |                    | ewalk width - right                 | 2.2 m = 7.2 ft      |
| Type of wearing surfa  | ce Oth                 | ner [9]                           |                      |  |                                    |                    |                                     |                     |
| Type of membrane/w   | earing surface         |                                   |                      |  |                                    |                    |                                     |                     |
| Weight Limits  |                        |                                   |                      |  |                                    |                    |                                     |                     |
| Bypass, detour length  0.2 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating |                        | Load Factor(LF) [1]               | Ol                   | perating rating                                  | 44.5 metric ton<br>74.4 metric ton |                    |                                     |                     |
|  | Bridge posting E       | iqual to or above le              | egal loads [5]       | De   | esign Load                         |                    |                                     |                     |

| Functional Details   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 23195 Average daily tr   | ruck traffi 4 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 28305 Year 2033                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]  | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]   | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]  | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A   | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri   | Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.18 m = 13.7 ft                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F   | Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A      |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A  | Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repair and Replacement Plans   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of work to be performed   | Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33] | Bridge improvement cost 121000 Roadway improvement cost 121000                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| William deck for admittation of replacement [55]   | Length of structure improvement 56.1 m = 184.1 ft Total project cost 267000             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Year of improvement cost estimate 2009  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Border bridge - structure number  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Inspection and Sufficiency  |                             |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status  Open, no restriction [A]  Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7] |                             | Appraisal ratings - structural   | Better than present minimum criteria [7]                            |  |  |  |  |
|   |                             | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  | Better than present minimum criteria [7]                            |  |  |  |  |
| Condition ratings - substructure  | Good [7]                    | Appraisal ratings -  | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]    |  |  |  |  |
| Condition ratings - deck Good [7]   |                             | deck geometry  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Scour   | Bridge foundatio            | ns determined to be stable for the ass   | sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]                           |  |  |  |  |
| Channel and channel protection  |                             | s in need of minor repairs. River cont<br>annel have minor amounts of drift. [7] | strol devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac   | Equal to presen             | minimum criteria [6]   | Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]                         |  |  |  |  |
| Pier or abutment protection   |                             |  | Sufficiency rating 71.1   |  |  |  |  |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used   | if structure is not a culve | ert. [N]   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - railings  |                             | Inpected feature meets currently acce  | eptable standards. [1]  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - transition  | IS                          | Inpected feature meets currently acce  | eptable standards. [1]  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - approach  | n guardrail                 | Inpected feature meets currently acce  | eptable standards. [1]  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - approach  | n guardrail ends            | Inpected feature meets currently acce  | eptable standards. [1]  |  |  |  |  |
| Inspection date August 2013   | [0813] Des                  | ignated inspection frequency 24  | Months  |  |  |  |  |
| Underwater inspection   | Not needed [N]              | Underwater inspe   | ection date   |  |  |  |  |
| Fracture critical inspection  | Every two years [Y24]       | Fracture critical in   | August 2013 [0813]  |  |  |  |  |
| Other special inspection  | Not needed [N]              | Other special insp   | nection date  |  |  |  |  |