HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-38-21.80 =	074-59-45.60
New Jersey [34]	Hunterdon County	[019]	Union [74420]	1.2 MI.E. OF CO. RT. (614	40.639389	= -74.996000
1000145	Highway age	ncy district 2	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance respor	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0	BAF	TIST CHURCH RD.	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected M	ULHOCKAWAY CREEK	
Design - Steel [3 main Truss -	Thru [10]	Design - approach 0 Other	r [00]	Year built 1902 Skew angle 0	m = 0.0 mi Year reconstru Structure Flared		
				Historical significance		igible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 10.4	m = 34.1 ft Lo	ength of maximum sp	9.8 m = 32.2 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-c	urb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft
Inventory Route, T	otal Horizontal Clearand	e 4.7 m = 15.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	C	urb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	ġ.	Corrugated Steel [6]					
Type of wearing su	rface	Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane	/wearing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour le 0.5 km = 0.3 mi	ivictified to deter	mine inventory rating	` ' '		, ,	netric ton = 12.0 tons netric ton = 19.9 tons	
	Bridge posting			Des	ign Load		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 542 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 661 Year 2033					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	mum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 644000 Roadway improvement cost 64000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 17.1 m = 56.1 ft Total project cost 974000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determi	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have		trol devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable	criteria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 36.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date June 2013 [0	Designated ins	spection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	June 2013 [0613]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					