HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-44-18.70 =	074-56-28.20
New Jersey [34]	Hunterdon County [019]	Lebanon [39660]	2.5 MI NE NJ RT 31		40.738528	= -74.941167
10XXL93	Highway agen	cy district 2	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 645	Musc	conecong Riv Rd	Toll On fr	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersected MUSCONE	ETCONG RIVER	
Design - Masonry [8] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 392. Year built #Num!	7 km = 243.5 mi Year reconstructed N/A	[0000]	
2 Arch - Deck	[11]	0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	1 [0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for	the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 24.7 m =	= 81.0 ft Le	ngth of maximum sp	an 11 m = 36.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	8.2 m = 26.9 ft Bridge roa	ıdway width, curb-to-cı	urb 6 m = 19.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	e 6 m = 19.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft
Deck structure type	1	Not applicable [N]					
Type of wearing surface	ce E	Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to deterr	nine inventory rating		Inve	ntory rating 16.3 metric ton	= 17.9 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to deterr	nine operating rating	J	Ope	rating rating 32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1043 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2013	Future average daily traffic 1	405 Year 2033					
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 1		Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic One la	ane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horiz	contal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	,						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by co	ontract [1]						
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 124200	0 Roadway impro	vement cost 60000					
or opiasonismi (e i)	Length of structure improvement	27.4 m = 89.9 ft Tota	l project cost 1583000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2013						
	Border bridge - state	Borde	r bridge - percent responsibility of other state	cent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minim	to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determ required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desira	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	45.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date June 2013 [0	Designated in	spection frequency 24	Mor	nths				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					