HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-38-07.53 =	075-10-07.25
New Jersey [34]	Warren County [041]		Pohatcong [59820]	1.2 MI SW OF CR 51	19		40.635425	= -75.168681
2102011 Highway agency district 2 C		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility County Highwa		gency [02]	
Route 0 CREEK ROAD		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected POHATCONG CREEK			
Design - steel [3] main Truss - Th	ru [10]	Design - approach	her [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1936 Skew angle 0	km = 0.0 mi Year rec Structure F	constructed 1992	2	
				Historical significance	e Bridge is	s eligible for the f		
Total length $18.6 \text{ m} = 61.0 \text{ ft}$ Length of maximum span $17.7 \text{ m} = 58.1 \text{ ft}$ Deck width, out-to-out $18.6 \text{ m} = 21.0 \text{ ft}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $18.6 \text{ m} = 21.0 \text{ ft}$ $18.6 \text$								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$) ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	W	8]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		ing Allowable Stress(AS) [2] In	ventory rating	entory rating 20 metric ton = 22.0 tons			
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determi	ine operating ra	ting Allowable Stress(AS	o) [2] O	perating rating	30.8 metric ton	= 33.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 420 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic	500 Year 2033						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearar	nce over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 735000 Roadway impr	rovement cost 50000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 18.6 m = 61.0 ft	tal project cost 1154000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013							
	Border bridge - state Bord	der bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	n criteria [7]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 45.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date May 2013 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date May 2013 [0513]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date						