The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										40-41-24 =	075-12-18 = -
Pennsylvania [42]		Northamp	Northampton County [095]			Easton [21648]		CITY OF EASTON				75.205000
487302999200100		High	Highway agency district 5			Owner Local Toll Authority [32]			Maintenance	e responsibility	Private (other that	an railroad) [26]
Route 22			US 22			Toll Toll bridge [1]			Features intersected DELAWARE RIVER			
Design - main				Design - approach  Other [00]			Kilometerpoint			Flared		
Total length 167.6 m = 549.9 ft Length of maximum span 167.6 m = 549.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 14 m = 45.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.2 m =								-curb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft 2.4 m = 7.9 ft				
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place							, , ,		onam mam ngm			
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]												
Deck prote	ection											
Type of m	embrane/we	earing surfa	ace									
Weight Li	mits											
Bypass, detour length  1.6 km = 1.0 mi		Method to determine inventory rating			rating N	No rating analysis performed [5]			entory rating	52.6 metric ton	= 57.9 tons	
		Metho	Method to determine operating rating			No rating analysis performed [5]			erating rating	76.2 metric ton	= 83.8 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				s [5]	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]							

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 38477 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 56050 Year 2008						
Road classification	ays or Exp Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 6 m = 19.7 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
deterioration of induceduate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 171 m = 561.1 ft Total project cost 1000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Unknown [342] Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number 0						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Open, no restriction [A]			Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Арј	praisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	dec	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to presen	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	60			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature me	neets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	IS	Inpected feature me							
Traffic safety features - approach	Inpected feature me	eets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature me	eets currently acce						
Inspection date May 2009 [0]	ignated inspection fi	requency 24	1	Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspection date		September 199	5 [0995]			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical inspection date		e				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]		Other special inspe	ection date					