## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation															1	0-35-36.90 =	074-56-41.85
New Jersey [34]			Hunterdon County [019]				Franklin [24870] 0.1 MI EAST OF			CO RT 513					0.593583	= -74.944958		
10XXF48			Highway agency district 2			Owner County Highway Agency [02]				Maintenance responsibility C			Cou	nty Highway	Agency [02]			
Route 0 UPPER KIN			KINGTOW	N RD	RD Toll On free road [3]				Features intersected CAPOOLONG CREEK									
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approach  O Other [00			0]			oint 1919 e 4		n = 0.0 mi Year r Structure		ructed 200	03			
				1						Historical s					ible for the			
Total length 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Length of maximum span 14.3 m = 46.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft																		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m =						0 m =	0.0 ft			Curb or sid	dewalk v	vidth - right	0  m = 0.0  ft					
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]																		
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]																		
Deck protection																		
Type of membrane/wearing surface																		
Weight Lin	nits																	
	Bypass, detour length Method to dete			etermin	ermine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inve	Inventory rating 31.8 metric ton =				tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to dete			etermin	ermine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]			0		rating rating	53.5	53.5 metric ton		tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above				bove le	gal loads [5]				Desi	Design Load								

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 582 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 710 Year 2033								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 661000 Roadway improvement cost 66000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Total project cost 1006000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]								
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry									
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]									
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]								
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 66.4								
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings											
Traffic safety features - transition											
Traffic safety features - approach											
Traffic safety features - approach		ture meets currently accep									
Inspection date July 2013 [0]	3 1										
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec									
· ·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins									
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date								