HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-28-59.	10 = 074-58-32.90	
New Jersey [34] Hunterdon County [019]		Kingwood [37065] 2 MILES SOUTH OF NJ 12		40.483083				
100K153 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Mair		Maintenance resp	onsibility County High	ay Agency [02]		
Route 0	KIN	IGWD-LOCKTOWN R	D Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	WICKECHEOKE CREEK		
Design - main Design - approach		Kilometerpoint Year built 1949		n = 0.0 mi Year reconst	ructed 2003			
1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 C		0 Other	r [00] Skew angle		Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is not	eligible for the NRHP. [5]		
Total length 22.6 m = 74.2 ft Length of maximum span 22 m = 72.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.5 m = 24.6 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.5 m = 24.6 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$		Curb or sidewalk width - rig	o m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 22.7	7 metric ton = 25.0 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating			Ope	erating rating 37.2	2 metric ton = 40.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					ign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 302 Average daily t	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 368 Year 2033							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Dancin and Danks arrest Dlans								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 62.6					
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inspection date								
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins						
·	Not needed [N]							
		· ·						