## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 40-27-06.98 = 074-48-57.35									
New Jersey	y [34]	Hunterdon County	[019]	East Amwell [18820]	1 MI.N.CO.RT.602			40.451939	= -74.815931
10XX2	243	Highway age	ncy district 2	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0 VAN LIEUS ROAD			Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersecte	BACK BRO	OOK		
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10] 0 Othe		Kilometerpoint Year built 1910  Skew angle 0		0 km = 0.0 mi  Year reconstructed 1973  Structure Flared					
					Historical significance	Bridge is	eligible for the	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 19.2 m = 63.0 ft Length of maximum span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft									
Inventory Ro	oute, Total I	Horizontal Clearan	e 4.1 m = 13.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$		Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]									
Weight Lim	nits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ra			mine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		ventory rating 10 metric ton = 11.0 tons			
0.5 km = 0.3 mi  Method to determine operating rating			g Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] Ope	rating rating 2	rating 23.6 metric ton = 26.0 tons			
		Bridge posting	00.1 - 09.9 % bel	ow [4]	Desi	ign Load			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 420 Average daily truck traffi 1 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 512 Year 2033										
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.6 m = 24.9 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 707000 Roadway improvement cost 90000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 19 m = 62.3 ft Total project cost 890000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Id	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	ed. River control devices	s and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the					
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 19.6					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approac	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends							
Inspection date June 2013 [	Designated inspec	ction frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	pection date June 2013 [0613]					