## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information												39-25-89 =	075-14-16 = -
New Jerse	ey [34]	Cumberland (	Cumberland County [011]			Bridgeton [07600] 100 FT E OF R		OF RT 697 I	NTER		39.441389	75.237778	
600016		Highwa	Highway agency district 3			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0 WASHINGTON STRE			REET		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	F	eatures interse	cted COHANSEY	' RIVER			
Design - Concrete continuous [2] main				Design - approach		Kilometerpoir Year built		point 6.4 1941	6.4 km = 4.0 mi   1 Year reconstructed     N/A [0000]				
3 Frame [07] 0			0	Other [00] Histo			Skew ang	le 0	Structure F	lared			
							Historical	significance	ance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]				
Total length   39.9 m = 130.9 ft   Length of maximum span   21.3 m = 69.9 ft   Deck width, out-to-out   13.2 m = 43.3 ft   Bridge roadway width, curb-							way width, curb-to-	curb 8.8 m = 28.9 ft					
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.8 m = 28.9 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.6 m = 5.2 ft Curb or sidew				walk width - right	1.6 m = 5.2 ft					
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			e [1]										
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or simi			ilar additiv	/e [3]									
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight Li	mits												
Bypass, detour lengthMethod to determine inventory rating0.2 km = 0.1 miMethod to determine operating rating			determi	ermine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inv	Inventory rating 36.3 metric ton = 39.9 tons			
			Load Factor(LF) [1]			Ор	Operating rating 54.5 metric ton = 60.0 tons						
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				gal loads	[5]		De	sign Load					

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic     4806     Average daily transmission	uck traffi 3 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 5768 Year 2028						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure     0     Navigation control     Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 1.8 m = 5.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 21.3 m = 69.9 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge   Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway   99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Densir and Danlassment Disne							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement0 m = 0.0 ftTotal project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state     Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well ve required or are in a stable con	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not requ	iired [1]	Sufficiency rating 80.4							
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected feature	e meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - transition	S									
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2	008 [0908] Designated inspec	ction frequency 24	Months							
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y48]	Underwater inspec	ction date June 2006 [0606]							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date							