HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-14-15.47 =	073-48-45.86
New York [36] Westchester County [119]		Yorktown [84077] TSP(NB) AT NEW CI		ROTON RES		41.237631	= -73.812739	
5502190 Highway agency district: 87			Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		esponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route #Num! RTE 987G			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersecte	ed NEW CROT	ON RESRVR	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Arch - Thru	[12] د	approach	crete continuous [2] beam [04]	Kilometerpoint 20 Year built 1931 Skew angle 0	O35.4 km = 1261.9 Year reco	onstructed 2012	2	
				Historical significance	e Historical	significance is r	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 238.4 m = 782.2 ft Length of maximum span 228.6 m = 750.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.2 m = 43.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Tota	nl Horizontal Clearanc	e 12.1 m = 39.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	(Concrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Integral C		ntegral Concrete (s	egral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			g No rating analysis or	r evaluation perfor Inv	ventory rating	32.7 metric ton :	= 36.0 tons	
1.4 km = 0.9 mi Method to determine operating rating			No rating analysis or	r evaluation perfor Op	perating rating	75.1 metric ton	= 82.6 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]								

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 59940 Average daily tr	uck traffi 4 % Year 1999 Future average daily traffi	ic 83916 Year 2019					
Road classification	ays or Exp Lanes on structure 3	Approach roadway width 12.4 m = 40.7 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical cle	earance over bridge roadway 3.96 m = 13.0 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 4539000 Roadway	improvement cost 2658000					
o replacement to it	Length of structure improvement 238.4 m = 782.2 ft	Total project cost 7197000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations de	etermined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculated scour condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present min	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 59				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [f	N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	S						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends Inpe	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date December 2014 [1214] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	Spection date December 2014 [1214]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				