

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

New York [36] Tioga County [107] Owego [55893] 0.6 MI SE OF APALACHIN 42-03-44 = 42.062222 076-08-56 = - 76.148889

2218760 Highway agency district 97 Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]

Route 0 MAIN STREET Toll On free road [3] Features intersected APALACHIN CREEK

Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi

1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [00] Year built 1929 Year reconstructed 2002

Skew angle 26 Structure Flared

Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]

Total length 40.2 m = 131.9 ft Length of maximum span 39.6 m = 129.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.3 m = 27.2 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.3 m = 27.2 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]

Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]

Deck protection

Type of membrane/wearing surface

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.4 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 35.4 metric ton = 38.9 tons

Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Operating rating 52.6 metric ton = 57.9 tons

Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	1290	Average daily truck traffi	5	%	Year	2008	Future average daily traffic	1290	Year	2028
Road classification	Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	7.6 m = 24.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]	Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	3.6 m = 11.8 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost	184000	Roadway improvement cost	110000						
	Length of structure improvement	40.2 m = 131.9 ft		Total project cost	294000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Excellent [9]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="78"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="July 2008 [0708]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Unknown [Y60]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text" value="October 2009 [1009]"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Every two years [Y24]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text" value="July 2008 [0708]"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>