HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-58-44.79 =	074-51-06.10
New York [36] St. Lawrence County [089]		Massena [46030] BARNHART IS		& S CH ST LAW		44.979108	= -74.851694	
5523630	Highway agency district 75		Owner Other State Age	encies [21]	Maintenance	Maintenance responsibility Other State Agencies [21]		es [21]
Route 0	BARN	HART ISLND RI	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersected S CH ST LAWRENCE			
Design - Steel continuation	nuous [4]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1956	xm = 0.0 mi Year rec	onstructed 199	7	
3 Truss - Thru [10]		Oth	her [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Historica	Il significance is	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 328.6 m = 1078.1 ft Length of maximum span 155.1 m = 508.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.6 m = 38.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 11.5 m = 37.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.6 m = 2.0 ft							0.6 m = 2.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface In		Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]						
Deck protection Ep		Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine		ine inventory rati	ng Allowable Stress(AS	i) [2] Inv	ventory rating	89.8 metric ton	= 98.8 tons	
19.9 km = 12.3 mi Method to determine operating rating			ing Allowable Stress(AS	Op	Operating rating 89.8 metric ton = 98.8 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 925 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2000 Future average daily traffic 1295 Year 2020					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.5 m = 37.7 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 6.75 m = 22.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 1775000 Roadway improvement cost 1039000					
or replacement [c ·]	Length of structure improvement 328.6 m = 1078.1 ft Total project cost 2814000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundatio	ns determined to be stable for assess	ssed or calculated scour condition. [5]				
Channel and channel protection	There are no not	ceable or noteworthy deficiencies wh	which affect the condition of the channel. [9]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating 81.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	rt. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	cceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	cceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date August 2015	[0815] Des	esignated inspection frequency 24 Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	pection date July 2014 [0714]				
-	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	nspection date				