

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

New York [36]	Saratoga County [091]	Edinburg [23591]	BATCHELLERVILLE	43-12-50 = 43.213889	074-05-37 = - 74.093611
3304190	Highway agency district 15	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0	COUNTY ROAD 98	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	SACANDAGA RESER	
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi	Year built 1930	Year reconstructed 1983	
41	Truss - Deck [09]	0 Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	
			Historical significance	Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]	
Total length 938.1 m = 3077.9 ft	Length of maximum span 45.7 m = 149.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 8.5 m = 27.9 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	7.9 m = 25.9 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type	Concrete Precast Panels [2]				
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]				
Deck protection	Unknown [8]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface	Other [9]				

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 2.2 km = 1.4 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	20.9 metric ton = 23.0 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	35.4 metric ton = 38.9 tons
Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]		Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	1912	Average daily truck traffi	9	%	Year	2008	Future average daily traffic	2458	Year	2028
Road classification	Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	7.3 m = 24.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]	Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	23616000	Roadway improvement cost	15629000						
	Length of structure improvement	938.1 m = 3077.9 ft		Total project cost	39245000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	17.1
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Inspection date	July 2009 [0709]	Designated inspection frequency	12 Months
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspection date	July 2007 [0707]
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical inspection date	July 2009 [0709]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	