## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-19-11.89 =	073-59-03.55
New York [36] Rockland County [087]		Stony Point [71674]		HUDSON R		41.319969	= -73.984319	
5503400 Highway agency district: 85			Owner State Toll Authority [31] Maintenance re		responsibility	State Toll Authority	[31]	
Route 6 RTE 6			Toll   Toll bridge [1]   Features intersected   CSXT RI		ted CSXT RIVE	R LINE, HUDSON		
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Steel approach	[3]	Kilometerpoint 0  Year built 1924	km = 0.0 mi	onstructed 197	7	
Suspension [13] 5 Truss		Deck [09] Skew angle 0		Structure Flared				
				Historical significance	e Bridge is	on the NRHP. [	1]	
Total length 688.2 m = 2258.0 ft Length of maximum span 497.4 m = 1632.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 14.8 m = 48.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.6 m = 38.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.5 m = 37.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left Curb or sidewalk width - left Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.2 m = 3.9 ft						1.2 m = 3.9 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface  Other [9]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			No rating analysis or	nventory rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons		
5.9 km = 3.7 mi Method to determine operating rating			No rating analysis or evaluation perfor		perating rating	83 metric ton =	91.3 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 19147 Average daily to	uck traffi 4 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 26806 Year 2034							
Road classification  Principal Arterial - Other Freeways or Exp  Lanes on structure 2								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7] Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 47.2 m = 154.9 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 178 m = 584.0 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.96 m = 19.6 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	ailroad beneath structure [R]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3 m = 9.8 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   99.99 m = 328.1 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge deck rehabilitation with only incidental widening. [36]	Bridge improvement cost 4080000 Roadway improvement cost 2389000							
widening, [66]	Length of structure improvement 688.2 m = 2258.0 ft Total project cost 6469000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Equal to present	minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection	None present but	re-evaluation suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating 61.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	li	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitio	าร							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date May 2014 [0	Desig	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					