The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-42-07 =	073-22-29 = -
New York [36]	ensselaer County [0	83]	Berlin [06189]	0.8 MI NE OF BI	ERLIN		42.701944	73.374722
2201470 Highway agency district 14		Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			e responsibility	Town or Township	o Highway Agency [03]	
Route 0	SATTE	RLEE ROAD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LITTLE HO			OSIC RIV		
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1940 Skew angle 0	Structure I			
				Historical signific		is not eligible for th		
Total length $16.1 \text{ m} = 5$			an 16.1 m = 52.8 ft Curb or sidewalk		5.5 m = 18.0		,	4.9 m = 16.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total H	: 0.0 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft				
Deck structure type		ncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	Bit	uminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	ne inventory rating	No rating analysis	performed [5]	Inventory rating	20.5 metric ton =	22.6 tons	
0.9 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	No rating analysis	performed [5]	Operating rating	55.5 metric ton =	= 61.1 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 40 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 52 Year								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.7 m = 18.7 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Danair and Danlagement Dlane									
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wards dama har. Wards to be done by contract [4]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 223000 Roadway improvement cost 133000								
	Length of structure improvement 16.1 m = 52.8 ft Total project cost 356000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, r	o restrictio	on [A]		ppraisal ratings - tructural	Somewhat is [5]	n place as		
Condition ratings - superstru	ondition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]			ppraisal ratings - badway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		isfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]			
Condition ratings - deck Good		od [7]	(deck geometry				
Scour		Bridge foundations deterequired. [4]	rmined to	be stable for assesse	ed or calculate	ed scour conditions; f	ield review indicates action is	
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Meets minimum toleral	le limits to	be left in place as is	[4]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]	
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	49.1	
Culverts Not applicable. U	sed if stru	icture is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - raili	ngs	Inpect	ed feature	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - tran	Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected			d feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - app	oach guai	rdrail ends						
Inspection date November 2009 [1109] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]				Underwater inspec	ction date			
Fracture critical inspection Every		y two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	spection date November 2009 [1109]			
Other special inspection	needed [N]		Other special insp	ection date				