## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-39-55.60 =	075-29-40.57
New York [36] Chenango County [017]		Sherburne [66894] .6 MI SOUTH OF		F SHERBURNE		42.665444	= -75.494603	
3351430 Highway agency district: 92		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		gency [02]	
Route 0 BLANDING ROAD		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersected CHENANGO RIVER				
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - Thr		Design - approach  Other	[00]	Year built 1939		onstructed 1993	3	
Tabella alla 21 dans	102.0 8		20.7 100.7 0	Skew angle 0  Historical significance		not eligible for t		7 A 21 0 G
Total length 31.4 m = 103.0 ft Length of maximum span 30.7 m = 100.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.1 m = 23.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.4 m = 21.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.3 m = 20.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Corrugated Steel [6]								
Type of wearing surfa  Deck protection	ce Bitu	uminous [6]						
Type of membrane/wo	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  0.9 km = 0.6 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		nventory rating 36.3 metric ton = 39.9 tons  Sperating rating 59.9 metric ton = 65.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load Othe	er [C]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 321 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2018 Future average daily tra	affic 324 Year 2038						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]	,						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contr	rol						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	4						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 1753000 Roadwa	ay improvement cost 1027000						
or replacement, [6 1]	Length of structure improvement 31.3 m = 102.7 ft	Total project cost 2780000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations deter	mined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Meets minimum tolerabl	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]  Status evaluation  Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 69.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Inpected	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends Inpected	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date June 2017 [0617]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					