The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-13-00 =	077-56-17 = -		
New York [36]	Monroe County [055	5]	Brockport [08466] JCT BARGE C&RTE 19			43.216667	77.938056			
4443240 Highway agency district 43			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]			
Route 19	RTE	19	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	ted ERIE CANA	L			
Design - Steel [3] main  Movable -	Lift [15]	Design - approach  Steel  String	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint 9 Year built 1915 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed 1992 lared Yes, fla	red [1]	this time [4]		
Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]  Total length 47.5 m = 155.8 ft Length of maximum span 39.6 m = 129.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.2 m = 23.6 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.2 m = 23.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.7 m = 5.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.7 m = 5.6 ft										
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]										
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (sep			parate non-modified layer o	f concrete added to st	ructural deck) [2]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinf		Epoxy Coated Reinfo	nforcing [1]							
Type of membrane/w	earing surface									
Weight Limits										
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		nventory rating	33.6 metric ton =	: 37.0 tons			
0.6 km = 0.4 mi  Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		perating rating	68 metric ton = 74.8 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]							

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 11788 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 15480 Year 2029										
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]										
Navigation vertical clearanc 5.1 m = 16.7 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 24.3 m = 79.7 ft										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0.9 m = 3.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation	Bridge improvement cost 926000 Roadway improvement cost 552000										
or replacement. [34]											
	Length of structure improvement 47.5 m = 155.8 ft Total project cost 1478000										
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009										
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present min								
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minim	num criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable red	quiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry									
Scour  Channel and channel protection		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]  Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.									
orialistic and orialist protocilor	Banks and/or ch	Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat bette in place as is [5	er than minimum adequacy to tolerate I 	being left Status evalu	Functionally obsolete [2]							
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation prote	ection not required [1]	Sufficiency r	rating 69.7							
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]											
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]								
Traffic safety features - approach											
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends											
Inspection date October 200		ignated inspection frequency 24	Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec									
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:		r 2008 [1008]							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date								