HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information				_			43-10-55 =	075-31-05 = -
New York [36]	Oneida County [065]		Rome [63418]	2.5 MILES SW OF ROME		43.181944	75.518056	
2206430	Highway agency district: 26		Owner City or Municip	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal I	Highway Agency [04]
Route 0	BROWN	I HOAG ROAD	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	Features interse	cted CSX TRAN	S/AMTRAK	
Design - Steel [3]		Design -		Kilometerpoint 1	20.7 km = 74.8 r	ni		
main		approach	[0.0]	Year built 1906	Year re	constructed 196	9	
1 Truss - Thru [10] 0		0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure I	Flared		
				Historical significand	ce Historia	cal significance is	not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 19.2 m =	= 63.0 ft Lengt	h of maximum sp	an 17 m = 55.8 ft	Deck width, out-to	out 5 m = 16.4 f	t Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-	curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	4.7 m = 15.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0$.	O ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Woo	od or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surface	ce Bitu	minous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis p	performed [5]	nventory rating	0 metric ton = 0	.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine	e operating rating	No rating analysis p	performed [5]	perating rating	0 metric ton = 0	.0 tons	
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below [1]				Г	Design Load M	9 / H 10 [1]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 170 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2002 Future average daily traffic 180 Year 2031
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.8 m = 15.7 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Railroad [2]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	ailroad beneath structure [R]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.6 m = 8.5	ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.27 m = 20.6 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum	tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Danair and Danlagament Dlang	
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by West to be deep by early 191
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 3270000 Roadway improvement cost 1915000
,	Length of structure improvement 19.2 m = 63.0 ft Total project cost 5185000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Bridge closed	ructure status Bridge closed to all traffic [K]							
Condition ratings - superstructure Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -						
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]	Bridge not over waterway. [N]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]	Not applicable. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]	N/A [N]		Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	21.7				
·								
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	OS							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected fe		ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date October 2011 [1011] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	October 2011 [1011]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					