The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-35-21 =	075-11-35 = -	
New York [36]	Otsego County [07	[7]	New Lisbon [50485]	0.3 MI W OF NEW LI	SBON		42.589167	75.193056	
3353940 Highway agency district 94		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		e responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0	COUNTY ROAD 12 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BUTTERNUT CREEK								
Design - steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1934 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed 1975 Flared is not eligible for the			
Total length 26.5 m = 86.9 ft Length of maximum span 25.2 m = 82.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.4 m = 21.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6 m = 19.7 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side			walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Precast Pan			nels [2]						
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection Unkn		Unknown [8]	nknown [8]						
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length 2 km = 1.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS	s) [2] In	entory rating	22.7 metric ton =	= 25.0 tons			
		Allowable Stress(AS	5) [2] O _I	perating rating	37.2 metric ton =	.2 metric ton = 40.9 tons			
	Bridge posting	00.1 - 09.9 % belo	w [4]	De	esign Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 457 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 541 Year 2029								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6 m = 19.7 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 424000 Roadway improvement cost 253000								
,	Length of structure improvement 26.5 m = 86.9 ft Total project cost 677000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural			eft in place as			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for the asso	essed or calculated sco	ur condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable lin	nimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficience	sy rating 50.3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	OS							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fea	ature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date November 2009 [1109] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	pection date November 2009 [1109]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					