## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-14-55.40 =	078-09-57.71
New York [36] Orleans County [073]		Albion [01044] 1.4 MI E JCT BRG C & SH98		43.248722	= -78.166031			
4445100 Highway agency district: 45			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Age	ncy [01]		
Route 0 BUTTS ROAD			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	ed Canal Trailv	vay, ERIE CAN	
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - Th	ru [10]	Design - approach  2 Slab	rete [1] [01]	Kilometerpoint 77 Year built 1912 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	.2 km = 47.9 mi Year reco Structure Flag	red Yes, fla	ared [1]	is time. [4]
Historical significance   Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]  Total length   58.5 m = 191.9 ft   Length of maximum span   46 m = 150.9 ft   Deck width, out-to-out   5 m = 16.4 ft   Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb   4.5 m = 14.8 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.4 m = 14.4 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Not applicable [N]								
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (see			separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]					
Deck protection Not applicable (applied		es only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  0.1 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]  Load Factor(LF) [1]		, ,			
Bridge posting				De	esign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 846 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 2 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 854 Year 2038							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearance 999.9 m = 3280.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 22.8 m = 74.8 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.24 m = 13.9 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 2497000 Roadway improvement cost 1462000							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Length of structure improvement 58.5 m = 191.9 ft Total project cost 3959000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations det	ermined to be stable for the ass	sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present minin	num criteria [6]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection r	not required [1]	Sufficiency rating 64.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpect	ed feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		ed feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date August 2018	9	d inspection frequency 12						
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					