HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-37-10.05 =	078-49-52.58
New York [36] Erie County [029]		Eden [23415] 2.9 MI NW OF NE		EW OREGON		42.619458	= -78.831272	
3327890 Highway agency district: 53			Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway Aç	gency [02]
Route 0 CLARKSBURG ROAD			Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected S BR 18 MILE CRK			
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 1 Year built 1911	27.1 km = 78.8 m Year red	onstructed 198	8	
1 Truss - Thru [10]		0 Othe	Other [00]		Structure FI	ared		
				Historical significance	e Historica	al significance is	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 32 m =	105.0 ft Lei	ngth of maximum sp	oan 31.3 m = 102.7 ft	Deck width, out-to-	out 5 m = 16.4 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	4.2 m = 13.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	O ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	V	Vood or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surface B		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to detern	nine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ir	nventory rating	11.8 metric ton	= 13.0 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating ratin			Load Factor(LF) [1]	C	perating rating	19.1 metric ton	= 21.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % bel	ow [3]	D	esign Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 502 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 703 Year 2030							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.6 m = 11.8 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.47 m = 14.7 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 78000 Roadway improvement cost 46000							
or replacement. [54]	Length of structure improvement 32 m = 105.0 ft Total project cost 124000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations d	etermined to be stable for the asse	sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Somewhat better that in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 34.2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Inpe	ected feature meets currently accep	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends Inpe	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date July 2015 [0	715] Designa	ted inspection frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	spection date July 2015 [0715]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	nection date					