HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | 44-11-36.89 = | 075-46-58.19 |
|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|
| New York [36] | Jefferson County [045] | Theresa [73528] | 1.0 MI E OF THERESA | | 44.193581 | = -75.782831 |
| 3339330 | Highway agency district: 73 | Owner County Highway | Agency [02] Mainter | nance responsibility | County Highway Ag | gency [02] |
| Route 0 | COON BRIDGES I | ROAD Toll On free | e road [3] Features in | tersected INDIAN RIVE | ER | |
| Design - steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru | Design - approach [10] | Other [00] | | .0 mi ear reconstructed 1997 ture Flared | | |
| | | | Historical significance Bri | idge is not eligible for th | ie NRHP. [5] | |
| Total length 18 m = 59.1 ft Length of maximum span 16.7 m = 54.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft | | | | | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft | | | | Curb or side | walk width - right | 0 m = 0.0 ft |
| Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8] | | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface | Bituminous [6] | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface Other [9] | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length | Method to determine inventory | rating Load Factor(LF) [1] | Inventory rati | ng 36.3 metric ton = | : 39.9 tons | |
| 0.3 km = 0.2 mi | Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1] | | Operating rat | ing 50.8 metric ton = | 55.9 tons | |
| | Bridge posting Equal to or a | bove legal loads [5] | Design Load | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 126 Average daily to | ruck traffi 6 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 127 Year 2038 | | | | | | | |
| Road classification Local (Rural) [09] | Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4 m = 13.1 ft | | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median | | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control | | | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri | Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] | | | | | | | |
| Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34] | Bridge improvement cost 739000 Roadway improvement cost 433000 | | | | | | | |
| or replacement. [6 i] | Length of structure improvement 17.9 m = 58.7 ft Total project cost 1172000 | | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate 2018 | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Open, no restriction [A] | | Appraisal ratings - structural | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructure | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Good [7] | deck geometry | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundations deter | mined to be stable for the ass | essed or calculated scour condition. [8] | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | | d of minor repairs. River cont ve minor amounts of drift. [7] | rol devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | Somewhat better than m in place as is [5] | inimum adequacy to tolerate I | being left Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2] | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating 78.2 | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitions | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail | | Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | | Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | |
| Inspection date July 2017 [0 | 717] Designated i | inspection frequency 24 | Months | | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspec | ction date | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection | Every two years [Y24] | Fracture critical inspection date July 2017 [0717] | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special insp | ection date | | | | |