The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-08-52 =	077-03-39 = -	
New York [36]	Steuben County [1	01]	Corning [18256] IN CORNING				42.147778	77.060833	
2218090 Highway agency district 64		Owner City or Municipa	wner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal H	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0	BRI	DGE STREET	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted CHEMUNG	RIVER		
Design - Steel continuation Truss - Thr		Design - approach 0 Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint C Year built 1937 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F		ot determinable at t	his time. [4]	
Total length 178.6 m = 586.0 ft Length of maximum span 67.9 m = 222.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13 m = 42.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.3 m = 37.1 ft									
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	ce 11.3 m = 37.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or side		walk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Integral Concret		Integral Concrete (se	e (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]						
Deck protection Epoxy Coate		Epoxy Coated Reinfo	ed Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length $0.1 \text{ km} = 0.1 \text{ mi}$ Method to determine inventory Method to determine operating		rmine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	li	nventory rating	37.2 metric ton =	40.9 tons		
		rmine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	(perating rating	65.3 metric ton =	71.8 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 10595 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 13804 Year 2029								
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.2 m = 36.7 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.52 m = 14.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 333000 Roadway improvement cost 198000								
,	Length of structure improvement 178.6 m = 586.0 ft Total project cost 531000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to prese							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to prese							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimu	4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations	determined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculate	ed scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present n	al to present minimum criteria [6] Status evaluation								
Pier or abutment protection			Suf	fficiency rating 92.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	IS									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail In	pected feature meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends In	pected feature meets currently acce								
Inspection date September 2009 [0909] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60] Underwater inspection date May 1994 [0594]										
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date	September 2009 [0909]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							