The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-17-43 =	078-03-26 = -
New York [36] Allegany County [003]		Angelica [02187] 2.3 MI SW OF AN		GELICA		42-17-43 = 42.295278	78.057222	
3329980 Highway agency district 61		Owner County Highwa	wner County Highway Agency [02]		nance responsibility County Hig		gency [02]	
Route 0	COUNTY	Toll On fr	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted ANGELICA (CREEK		
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [0]		[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1930 Year reconstructed N/A Skew angle 0 Structure Flared			0000]		
				Historical significance	Bridge	s not eligible for th	e NRHP. [5]	
Total length $28.4 \text{ m} = 126.0 \text{ ft}$ Length of maximum span $26.5 \text{ m} = 119.8 \text{ ft}$ Deck width, out-to-out $26.5 \text{ m} = 18.0 \text{ ft}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $26.5 \text{ m} = 16.7 \text{ ft}$								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.1 m = 16.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right							0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Inv	entory rating	24.5 metric ton =	27.0 tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating Allowab			Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Op	erating rating	41.7 metric ton =	45.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					sign Load MS	3 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 455 Average daily tru	ck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 595 Year 2029								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.1 m = 16.7 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.7 m = 12.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 479000 Roadway improvement cost 285000								
	Length of structure improvement 38.4 m = 126.0 ft Total project cost 764000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	to be stable for assesse	sed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable limits	to be left in place as is	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 62.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected featu	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected featu	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date December 2008 [1208] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date December 2008 [1208]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						