HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 42-56-07.11 = 074-42-14.04								074-42-14.04
New York [36] Montgomery County [057]		Minden [47614] 4 MI W OF FORT PLAIN			42-30-07.11 = 42.935308	= -74.703900		
3309490 Highway agency district 25			Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibil		responsibility	County Highway Aç	gency [02]	
Route 0	CR73	BERKMANS CORN	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ed OTSQUAG	O CREEK	
Design - main Design - approach			Kilometerpoint 68 Year built 1930	25.4 km = 424.9 m Year reco	onstructed 197	3		
1 Arch - Thru [12] 0 Othe		0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is	eligible for the I	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 23.4 m = 76.8 ft Length of maximum span 21.6 m = 70.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m					ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	C	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Martin Landa								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating No rating analysis or evaluation perfor Inventory rating 21.1 metric top = 23.2 tops								
0.6 km = 0.4 mi			No rating analysis or evaluation perfor		, ,			
Method to determine operating rating No rating analysis or evaluation per				3 3	59.5 metric ton	= 65.5 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				De	esign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 527 Average daily to	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 738 Year 2029							
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 4.5 m = 14.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge deck rehabilitation with only incidental widening. [36]	Bridge improvement cost 223000 Roadway improvement cost 131000							
macring. [50]	Length of structure improvement 23.4 m = 76.8 ft Total project cost 354000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no re	estriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundation	ns determined to be stable for the ass	ssessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Somewhat bette in place as is [5	er than minimum adequacy to tolerate	e being left Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 48.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	d if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ons	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail ends							
Inspection date May 2015 [0515] Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	pection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	inspection date May 2015 [0515]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date					