HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						41-14-02.25 =	073-48-05.01	
New York [36] Westchester County [119]			Yorktown [84077]	Yorktown [84077] 4.6 MI NE CROTON ON HUDS.			= -73.801392	
2262070 Highway agency district: 87		Owner Other Local Agencies [25]		Maintenance responsi	Other Local Agenc	es [25]		
Route 0	Route 0 BET.SH 129&ARCADY			e road [3]	Features intersected NEW CROTON RESV.			
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Steel approach	[3]	'	m = 0.0 mi Year reconstructe	100001 AVA		
1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	1 Girde	er and floorbeam system [03]	-	Structure Flared	eu [IV/A [UUUU]		
				Historical significance	Historical signific	ance is not determinable at th	is time. [4]	
Total length 163 m =	534.8 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	pan 120.7 m = 396.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 6.8 m = 22.3 ft Bri	dge roadway width, curb-to-cu	urb 6.2 m = 20.3 ft	
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	6.2 m = 20.3 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ft Cur	b or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Ор	oen Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surface	ce Ot	her [9]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	ne inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating 17.2 me	etric ton = 18.9 tons		
0.9 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ор	erating rating 28.1 me	etric ton = 30.9 tons		
	Bridge posting 1	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 317 Average daily to	ruck traffi 3 % Year 1995 Future average daily traffic 444 Year 2015								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.75 m = 12.3 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge deck rehabilitation with only incidental widening. [36]	Bridge improvement cost 1873000 Roadway improvement cost 1097000								
g. [ee]	Length of structure improvement 163 m = 534.8 ft Total project cost 2970000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]							
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	45.4			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date September 2	015 [0915] Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Moi	nths				
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspection date		September 2013	3 [0913]			
-	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	•	September 2015	5 [0915]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					