HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						42-28-25.73 =	078-44-41.19
New York [36]	[36] Erie County [029]		Concord [17585] 3.2 MI SW OF SPRINGVILLE		42-20-23.73 = 42.473814	= -78.744775	
3328360 Highway agency district: 53		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance respons	County Highway A	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0 HAMMOND HILL ROAD			Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CATTARAU		TTARAUGUS CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Truss - Thr	ıı [10]	Design - approach Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 47 Year built 1939	79.5 km = 297.3 mi Year reconstruct	ed 2011	
Z 11u55 - 11ll	u [10]	Office	1 [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible	for the NRHP. [2]	
Total length 75 m =	246.1 ft Ler	ngth of maximum sp	oan 36.5 m = 119.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-c	out 7.1 m = 23.3 ft Br	idge roadway width, curb-to-c	eurb 6.6 m = 21.7
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	6.5 m = 21.3 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft Cu	rb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	W	lood or Timber [8]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		ventory rating 20.9 m	etric ton = 23.0 tons	
1.6 km = 1.0 mi Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] O _I	perating rating 29.9 m	etric ton = 32.9 tons	
	Bridge posting			Do	esign Load		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 152 Average daily to	ruck traffi 2 % Year 2018 Future average daily t	traffic 153 Year 2038								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation cor	ontrol								
Navigation vertical clearanc										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 4233000 Roads	lway improvement cost 2479000								
	Length of structure improvement 74.9 m = 245.7 ft	Total project cost 6712000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018									
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficien	ncy						
Structure status Post	ted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minir	imum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - substructure Fair			Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck Fair [[5]	deck geometry				
Scour		Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Superior to present desira	ble criteria [9]	Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection				5	Sufficiency rating 44.5		
Culverts Not applicable	e. Used if strud	cture is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - r	Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - t	transitions						
Traffic safety features - a	approach guar	drail					
Traffic safety features - a	approach guar	drail ends					
Inspection date September 2018 [0918] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months							
Underwater inspection Not ne		eeded [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date			
		year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	•	September 2018 [0918]		
Other special inspection	Other special inspection Not no		Other special inspe	ection date			