HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information	l									43-21-18.06 =	074-59-55.42
New York [36] Herkimer County [043]		Ohio [54!	Ohio [54507]		E TIP OF HINCKLEY RESERVR			43.355017	= -74.998728		
2204740		Highway agenc	y district: 23	Owner	Town or Towns	hip Highway	Agency [03]	Maintenance	eresponsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 0		HARV	EY BRIDGE RD	1	Toll On fr	ee road [3]	Fe	atures interse	cted WEST CAN	NADA CREEK	
Design - Steel [3 main Truss -]	Design - approach Other	her [00]		Kilometer Year built Skew ang	1895 le 0	Structure F	constructed 200		
							significance		is not eligible for	• •	
Total length 75.6	m = 248	3.0 ft Len	gth of maximum	span 25.9 m	= 85.0 ft	Deck wi	dth, out-to-ou	5.5 m = 18.0	oft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	urb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft
Inventory Route, T	otal Hori	zontal Clearance	4.8 m = 15.7 f	t Cu	urb or sidewalk v	vidth - left	0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure typ	9	W	ood or Timber [8	3]							
Type of wearing so	rface	Bi	tuminous [6]								
Deck protection											
Type of membrane	/wearing	g surface									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour le 0.8 km = 0.5 mi		Method to determ	,		d Factor(LF) [1] d Factor(LF) [1]			entory rating erating rating	11.8 metric ton 26.3 metric ton		
	[Bridge posting					Des	ign Load			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 269 Average daily to	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 271 Year 2038				
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 f					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]				
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 3070000 Roadway improvement cost 1798000				
indeximity [67]	Length of structure improvement 75.5 m = 247.7 ft Total project cost 4869000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018				
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	determined to be stable for the asse	sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better the in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 26.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inp	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date November 20	018 [1118] Designa	ated inspection frequency 12	2 Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date November 2018 [1118]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date					