

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

New York [36] Steuben County [101] Erwin [24647] 4.0 MI SW OF CORNING 42-06-24 = 42.106667 077-08-44 = - 77.145556

3255800 Highway agency district 64 Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]

Route 0 COUNTY ROAD 73 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CANISTEO RIVER

Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi

1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [00] Year built 1933 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]

Skew angle 0 Structure Flared

Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]

Total length 66.1 m = 216.9 ft Length of maximum span 64 m = 210.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.1 m = 23.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]

Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]

Deck protection

Type of membrane/wearing surface

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Inventory rating 34.5 metric ton = 38.0 tons

Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Operating rating 57.2 metric ton = 62.9 tons

Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	1594	Average daily truck traffi	6	%	Year	2009	Future average daily traffic	2085	Year	2029
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	6.7 m = 22.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.52 m = 14.8 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost	1062000	Roadway improvement cost	632000						
	Length of structure improvement	66.1 m = 216.9 ft		Total project cost	1694000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009								
	Border bridge - state					Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Good [7]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text" value="Functionally obsolete [2]"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="61.6"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="August 2009 [0809]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Every two years [Y24]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text" value="August 2009 [0809]"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>