HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-55-54.45 =	078-54-05.17
New York [36] Erie County [029]		Buffalo [11000] .5 MI N JCT I190 & SH198			42.931792	= -78.901436		
7708440	Highway a	agency district: 53	Owner Railroad [27]		Maintenance resp	ponsibility	Railroad [27]	
Route 0		JNITY ISLAND ACCES	Toll On fr	ee road [3]	Features intersected	RTE I190, BLA	ACK ROCK CAN	
Design - Steel cont main 2 Movable -	nuous [4] Swing [17]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Year built 1909 Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance		gnificance is no	t determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 132.3 r	n = 434.1 ft	Length of maximum spa	65.8 m = 215.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-	out 19.2 m = 63.0 ft	Bridge roadw	ay width, curb-to-cu	urb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	ıl Horizontal Clear	rance 4.3 m = 14.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	vidth - left 3.7 m = 1	2.1 ft	Curb or sidew	alk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surfa	ice	Other [9]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour leng	h Method to de	etermine inventory rating		In	ventory rating 29	metric ton = 31	.9 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to de	etermine operating rating		0	perating rating 29	metric ton = 31	.9 tons	
	Bridge postii	ng 10.0 - 19.9 % belo	w [3]	D	esign Load Railroad	d [8]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 775 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 4 % Year 2015 Future average daily traffic 782 Year 2038					
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearanc 5.1 m = 16.7 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 49.3 m = 161.8 ft					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 1.2 m = 3.9	ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.41 m = 14.5 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 27252000 Roadway improvement cost 15959000					
on replacement [6 1]	Length of structure improvement 132.2 m = 433.7 ft Total project cost 43211000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intol	asically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intol					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determin	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erochannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better than minin in place as is [5]	num adequacy to tolerate b	peing left Sta	atus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	In place but in a deteriorated	n a deteriorated condition [3]		ufficiency rating	29.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used in	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected fea	ature meets currently acce	ptable standards	s. [1]				
Inspection date November 20	D18 [1118] Designated insp	pection frequency 12	Mont	ths				
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	August 2017 [0817]				
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	November 2018 [1118]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					